California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 1 of 9

			PART I						PART II					
					33413(b)(1)			[H&SC		PART III				
										VELOPED		TOTALS		
		1. New Units	Sub.Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10.VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10	
		Omis	Kenao	#17#2	#3 X 3070	#4 X 3070	Omts	Kenao.	πO⊤π /	#6 X 1370	#7X 4U70	# 4 ±#2	πJ+π10	
ALAMEDA COUNTY														
ALAMEDA CITY CIC							10		10	2	1	2	1	
EMERYVILLE RDA							393		393	59	24	59	24	
FREMONT RDA							1		1	0	0	0	0	
HAYWARD RDA							124		124	19	7	19	7	
LIVERMORE RDA							318		318	48	19	48	19	
OAKLAND RDA							166		166	25	10	25	10	
SAN LEANDRO RDA							178		178	27	11	27	11	
UNION CITY RDA		5		5	2	1						2	1	
	County Totals:	5		5	2	1	1,190		1,190	179	71	180	72	
BUTTE COUNTY														
CHICO RDA		60		60	18	9	120		120	18	7	36	16	
OROVILLE RDA		8		8	2	1	64	14	78	12	5	14	6	
	County Totals:	68		68	20	10	184	14	198	30	12	50	22	
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY BRENTWOOD RDA							80	3	83	12	5	12	5	
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	RDA						64	98	162	24	10	24	10	
HERCULES RDA							264	,,,	264	40	16	40	16	
PINOLE RDA		16		16	5	2	-					5	2	
PITTSBURG RDA							482		482	72	29	72	29	
RICHMOND RDA							59		59	9	4	9	4	
	County Totals:	16		16	5	2	949	101	1,050	158	63	162	65	
FRESNO COUNTY CLOVIS CDA		2		2	1	0			,			1	0	

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 2 of 9

				PART	ΓI									
			[H&	SC Section	33413(b)(1)			[H&SC		PART III				
										VELOPED		TOTALS		
		1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum		5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl. Ob.		11. Sum	12. VLow	
		Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10	
FRESNO CITY RDA		16	107	123	37	18						37	18	
PARLIER RDA							151		151	23	9	23	9	
REEDLEY RDA							9		9	1	1	1	1	
	County Totals:	18	107	125	38	19	160		160	24	10	62	28	
HUMBOLDT COUNTY														
EUREKA RDA							5	1	6	1	0	1	0	
	County Totals:						5	1	6	1	0	1	0	
IMPERIAL COUNTY CALEXICO RDA								8	8	1	0	1	0	
	County Totals:							8	8	1	0	1	0	
KERN COUNTY														
BAKERSFIELD RDA		162		162	49	24	117	40	157	24	9	72	34	
CALIFORNIA CITY RDA							57		57	9	3	9	3	
TAFT COMMUNITY DA							2		2	0	0	0	0	
TEHACHAPI RDA							1		1	0	0	0	0	
	County Totals:	162		162	49	24	177	40	217	33	13	81	37	
KINGS COUNTY CORCORAN RDA								8	8	1	0	1	0	
	County Totals:							8	8	1	0	1	0	
LAKE COUNTY	county Totals.							o	0	1	U	1	U	
CLEARLAKE RDA							60		60	9	4	9	4	
	County Totals:						60		60	9	4	9	4	
LOS ANGELES COUNTY														
AZUSA RDA							9		9	1	1	1	1	
DUARTE RDA							6		6	1	0	1	0	

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 3 of 9

		PART I													
			[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)						[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)						
										VELOPED-		TOTALS			
			2. Sub.	3. Sum		5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl. Ob.		11. Sum	12. VLow		
		Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10		
LA MIRADA RDA							67		67	10	4	10	4		
LOS ANGELES CITY CRA							60	26	86	13	5	13	5		
PICO RIVERA RDA							140		140	21	8	21	8		
POMONA RDA							9	1	10	2	1	2	1		
SANTA MONICA RDA							180	26	206	31	12	31	12		
WALNUT IMPROVEMENT A	AGENCY						64		64	10	4	10	4		
	County Totals:						535	53	588	88	35	88	35		
MARIN COUNTY															
NOVATO RDA		304		304	91	46						91	46		
	County Totals:	304		304	91	46						91	46		
MERCED COUNTY															
ATWATER RDA			1	1	0	0						0	C		
MERCED CITY RDA							11		11	2	1	2	1		
	County Totals:		1	1	0	0	11		11	2	1	2	1		
MONTEREY COUNTY MONTEREY COUNTY CDA							10		10	2	1	2	1		
MONTEREY RDA		21		21	6	3	30		30	5	2	11	5		
SALINAS RDA							91		91	14	5	14			
SEASIDE RDA		12		12	4	2	-					4	2		
SOLEDAD RDA							7	7	14	2	1	2	1		
-	County Totals:	33		33	10	5	138	7	145	22	9	32	14		
NEVADA COUNTY	-			30			_30	·			-		_		
GRASS VALLEY RDA							1		1	0	0	0	(
	County Totals:			<u></u>			1		1	0	0	0	(

ORANGE COUNTY

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 4 of 9

		PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)AGENCY DEVELOPED						[H&SC NONAG	PART III				
		1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10.VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
ANAHEIM RDA		264		264	79	40	14		14	2	1	81	40
BUENA PARK RDA							25		25	4	2	4	2
HUNTINGTON BEACH RDA							5		5	1	0	1	0
ORANGE CITY RDA							24		24	4	1	4	1
ORANGE COUNTY RDA		30		30	9	5	75		75	11	5	20	9
SAN CLEMENTE RDA							2		2	0	0	0	0
SANTA ANA CRA							70		70	11	4	11	4
STANTON RDA							29		29	4	2	4	2
	County Totals:	294		294	88	44	244		244	37	15	125	59
PLACER COUNTY RDA							15		15	2	1	2	1
	County Totals:						15		15	2	1	2	1
RIVERSIDE COUNTY BANNING CRA		160		160	48	24						48	24
CATHEDRAL CITY RDA		1		1	0	0						0	0
COACHELLA RDA							361		361	54	22	54	22
CORONA RDA							74		74	11	4	11	4
DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA	1						161		161	24	10	24	10
INDIAN WELLS RDA							218		218	33	13	33	13
LA QUINTA RDA		31		31	9	5	515	22	537	81	32	90	37
NORCO RDA		2		2	1	0						1	0
PALM DESERT RDA							327		327	49	20	49	20
PALM SPRINGS RDA		1	68	69	21	10						21	10

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 5 of 9

				PAR	ΓI									
					33413(b)(1)				Section 33			PART III		
										VELOPED		TOTALS 11. Sum 12. VLow		
		 New Units 	Sub.Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	New Units	Sub.Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	#5+#10	
RIVERSIDE COUNTY RDA							114		114	17	7	17	7	
RIVERSIDE RDA							344	8	352	53	21	53	21	
SAN JACINTO RDA								1	1	0	0	0	0	
	County Totals:	195	68	263	79	39	2,114	31	2,145	322	129	401	168	
SACRAMENTO COUNTY SACRAMENTO CITY RDA							230	5	235	35	14	35	14	
SACRAMENTO COUNTY RI)A						445	5	450	68	27	68	27	
	County Totals:						675	10	685	103	41	103	41	
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY BARSTOW RDA							80		80	12	5	12	5	
CHINO RDA							7		7	1	0	1	C	
COLTON RDA		75	6	81	24	12						24	12	
GRAND TERRACE RDA							15		15	2	1	2	1	
HIGHLAND RDA							213		213	32	13	32	13	
MONTCLAIR RDA							73	16	89	13	5	13	5	
RANCHO CUCAMONGA RD	A													
SAN BERNARDINO RDA							75	105	180	27	11	27	11	
VICTOR VALLEY EDA							649		649	97	39	97	39	
VICTORVILLE RDA							838		838	126	50	126	50	
	County Totals:	75	6	81	24	12	1,950	121	2,071	311	124	335	136	
SAN DIEGO COUNTY CHULA VISTA RDA														
CORONADO CRA							4		4	1	0	1	C	
EL CAJON RDA		6		6	2	1	11		11	2	1	3	2	

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 6 of 9

		PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)AGENCY DEVELOPED						[H&SC	PART III				
			2. Sub. Rehab			5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7			11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
ESCONDIDO CDC								15	15	2	1	2	1
NATIONAL CITY CDC							135		135	20	8	20	8
POWAY RDA							51		51	8	3	8	3
SAN DIEGO CITY RDA							1,788		1,788	268	107	268	107
SAN MARCOS RDA		160		160	48	24	738		738	111	44	159	68
SANTEE RDA		20	62	82	25	12						25	12
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY	County Totals:	186	62	248	74	37	2,727	15	2,742	411	165	486	202
S.F. CITY & COUNTY RDA		72		72	22	11						22	11
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY MANTECA RDA	County Totals:	72		72	22	11	50		50	8	3	22 8	11 3
RIPON RDA			1	1	0	0			30			0	0
STOCKTON RDA							30		30	5	2	5	2
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	County Totals:		1	1	0	0	80		80	12	5	12	5
EL PASO ROBES RDA							28	1	29	4	2	4	2
SAN MATEO COUNTY EAST PALO ALTO RDA	County Totals:						28	1	29	4	2	4	2
MENLO PARK CDA													
SAN CARLOS RDA							4		4	1	0	1	0
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO RI	DA						33		33	5	2	5	2
GANTEA DADDADA GOVINEYA	County Totals:		_				37		37	6	2	6	2

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 7 of 9

						[H&SC NONAG		PART III					
		1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%		11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
SANTA BARBARA RDA							12		12	2	1	2	1
	County Totals:						12		12	2	1	2	1
SANTA CLARA COUNTY CAMPBELL RDA							9		9	1	1	1	1
MORGAN HILL RDA							11		11	2	1	2	1
SAN JOSE RDA							1,176		1,176	176	71	176	71
	County Totals:						1,196		1,196	179	72	179	72
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY CAPITOLA RDA							4		4	1	0	1	0
SANTA CRUZ CITY RDA							224		224	34	13	34	13
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY RDA	1						24		24	4	1	4	1
SCOTTS VALLEY RDA							15		15	2	1	2	1
WATSONVILLE RDA							20		20	3	1	3	1
SHASTA COUNTY ANDERSON	County Totals:						287 169		287 169	43 25	17 10	43 25	17 10
REDDING RDA							49	7	56	8	3	8	3
SHASTA LAKE							84		84	13	5	13	5
GOV LAVO GOVININA	County Totals:						302	7	309	46	19	46	19
SOLANO COUNTY FAIRFIELD RDA							74		74	11	4	11	4
RIO VISTA RDA							2		2	0	0	0	0
Sonoma COUNTY	County Totals:						76		76	11	5	11	5
CLOVERDALE RDA							113		113	17	7	17	7

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 8 of 9

				PART	ГΙ									
					33413(b)(1)			[H&SC		PART III				
					ELOPED					VELOPED-		TOTALS		
		1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10.VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10	
COTATI RDA		36		36	11	5						11	5	
HEALDSBURG RDA							15		15	2	1	2	1	
SANTA ROSA							579		579	87	35	87	35	
SONOMA CDA							14		14	2	1	2	1	
	County Totals:	36		36	11	5	721		721	108	43	119	49	
STANISLAUS COUNTY RDA							90	6	96	14	6	14	6	
	County Totals:						90	6	96	14	6	14	6	
TULARE COUNTY PORTERVILLE RDA							93		93	14	6	14	6	
TULARE RDA							2		2	0	0	0	0	
VISALIA CRA							82	15	97	15	6	15	6	
WOODLAKE RDA							13	7	20	3	1	3	1	
	County Totals:						190	22	212	32	13	32	13	
TUOLUMNE COUNTY SONORA RDA							2		2	0	0	0	0	
	County Totals:						2		2	0	0	0	0	
VENTURA COUNTY CAMARILLO RDA		7		7	2	1						2	1	
OXNARD RDA							86	6	92	14	6	14	6	
PORT HUENEME RDA							23		23	3	1	3	1	
SANTA PAULA RDA			1	1	0	0						0	0	
	County Totals:	7	1	8	2	1	109	6	115	17	7	20	8	

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

California Redevelopment Agencies INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2003/2004

Exhibit G Page 9 of 9

		PART I						PART II					
		[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)						[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)					
		AGENCY DEVELOPED						NONAGENCY DEVELOPED					
	1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum	4. Incl Ob	Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl. Ob.	10.VLow	11. Sum	12. VLow	
	Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10	
Total Agencies Contributing to this Report: 126	1,471	246	1,717	515	258	14,265	451	14,716	2,207	883	2,723	1,141	

- Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- Totals may be impacted by rounding.

 Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- Part III #12 is a subset of #11.